arXiv:hep-th/0102082v2 16 Feb 2001

OCHA-PP-171
NDA-FP-90
February 2001

Quantum stabilization of thermal brane-worlds in M-theory

Shin'ichi NOJIRI[1], Sergei D. ODINTSOV♠[2],
and Sachiko OGUSHI◊[3]

Department of Applied Physics
National Defence Academy, Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN

♠ *Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634041 Tomsk, RUSSIA and*
Instituto de Fisica de la Universidad de Guanajuato, Lomas del Bosque
103, Apdo. Postal E-143, 37150 Leon,Gto., MEXICO

◊ *Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University*
Otsuka, Bunkyou-ku Tokyo 112-0012, JAPAN

ABSTRACT

Low-energy effective M-theory (11d supergravity or 11d SUSY theory) is considered in 11d flat or AdS background. After orbifold compactification of eleventh dimension one gets flat 10d brane at non-zero temperature. Quantum effective potential (free energy at low-temperature approximation) is calculated on such brane with account of only lowest mass from Kaluza-Klein modes. Such effective potential may stabilize the radius of 11th dimension (radion stabilization) as it is demonstrated explicitly.

---

[1] nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp
[2] odintsov@ifug5.ugto.mx
[3] JSPS Research Fellow, g9970503@edu.cc.ocha.ac.jp

Thermodynamics of extended objects is known to be quite remarkable. It is marked by the presence of Hagedorn (critical) temperature for (super)strings[1] and (super)membranes[2]. It is expected that M-theory thermodynamics should also contain the Hagedorn temperature (for recent discussion, see [3, 4]). From another side, brane-worlds [5] with trapping of gravity are expected to be useful in M-theory in different aspects [6]. It could be that (classical and quantum) thermal effects in M-theory may provide the interesting consequences to brane-worlds which in their own turn may help in better understanding of thermodynamics. In the recent model [7] it was demonstrated that thermal flat brane in AdS_5 bulk may be stabilized by quantum effects. In other words, radion stabilization occurs due to thermal quantum effects.

In the present Letter we consider low-energy effective M-theory (11d supergravity or 11d SUSY theory) in flat or AdS 11d bulk. Thermal flat brane is obtained after orbifold S_1/Z_2 compactification of eleventh coordinate (the temperature is introduced in the standard way by compactification of time). The systematic method to calculate free energy (effective potential) at low-temperature approximation is developed with account of only lowest mass from KK tower[4]. The explicit calculation shows that such quantum free energy may stabilize the radius of orbifolded dimension. In other words, spontaneous compactification of 11d brane-worlds occurs due to account of thermal quantum effects.

We start with a simple review of black body radiation. Since the energy of a single particle with momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and mass m is given by $E_p = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$, one obtains the following expression for its contribution to the partition function Z_p^b for boson and Z_p^f for fermion:

$$Z_p^b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta E_p\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{2\sinh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right)}\ , \ \ Z_p^f = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta E_p\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)} = 2\cosh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right)\ , \tag{1}$$

Here β is the inverse temperature , $\beta = 1/T$, and we sum over all possible states with n particles (quanta). In Eqs.(1) one expresses the zero-point energy by $\pm\frac{1}{2}E_p$. The total partition function of the particle with mass m in a $d-1$-dimensional volume V_{d-1} is given by summing over Z_k with respect to the momentum $\mathbf{p}$:

---

[4] The similar approach to calculation of graviton amplitudes in 11d SG via the corresponding evaluation of amplitudes in 10d SG with account of Kaluza-Klein modes has been developed in refs.[8, 9]

$$\beta F^{b,f} = -\ln Z^b = \pm V_{d-1} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \begin{array}{l} \ln\left(2\sinh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right)\right) \\ \ln\left(2\cosh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right)\right) \end{array} \quad . \tag{2}$$

Here $F^{b,f}$ is free energy. Sign $+$ and sinh ($-$ and cosh) correspond to bosonic (fermionic) case in (2). The expr. (2) diverge and require regularization in general. For supersymmetric case, we obtain a finite result:

$$\beta F^s = \beta\left(F^b + F^f\right) = V_{d-1} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \ln\left(\tanh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right)\right) \quad . \tag{3}$$

The average energy E is given by the derivative of the free energy, $E = \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\left(\beta F\right)$. Then for above cases (2) and (3), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} E_d^b(\beta;m) &= V_{d-1} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{E_p}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right) \ , & (4) \\ E_d^f(\beta;m) &= -V_{d-1} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{E_p}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta E_p}{2}\right) \ , & (5) \\ E_d^s(\beta;m) &= V_{d-1} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{E_p}{\sinh \beta E_p} \ . & (6) \end{aligned}$$

This completes our elementary review of quantum statistics at nonzero temperature.

As we will be mainly interested in the supersymmetric case, we subtract the zero temperature contributions from $E_d^b(\beta;m)$ in Eq. (4) and $E_d^f(\beta;m)$ in Eq. (5) : $\tilde{E}_d^{b,f}(\beta;m) = E_d^{b,f}(\beta;m) - E_d^{b,f}(\infty;m)$. (The zero temperature contributions in $E_d^b(\beta;m)$ cancel with each other in the supersymmetric case.) Note that $\tilde{E}_d^b(\beta;m)$ and $\tilde{E}_d^f(\beta;m)$ are finite. By changing the variable from q to s : $q = \sqrt{s^2 + 2\beta m s}$, the energies $\tilde{E}_d^b(\beta;m)$ and $\tilde{E}_d^f(\beta;m)$ have the following forms:

$$\tilde{E}_d^{b,f}(\beta;m) = \frac{V_{d-1}}{2^{d-1}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)\beta^d} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{(s+\beta m)^2 \left(s^2 + 2\beta m s\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}}{\mathrm{e}^{s+\beta m} \mp 1} \quad . \tag{7}$$

When $m = 0$, one finds

$$\tilde{E}_d^b(\beta;0) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} V_{d-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)\beta^d} \frac{B_{\frac{d}{2}}}{d} \ , \quad \tilde{E}_d^f(\beta;0) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} V_{d-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)\beta^d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}\right) \frac{B_{\frac{d}{2}}}{d} \ . \tag{8}$$

Here we assume d is even and B_n are the Bernoulli numbers. For example, $B_2 = \frac{1}{30}$, $B_5 = \frac{5}{66}$ and we used the following formulae

$$\int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^{2n-1}}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi x}+1} = \frac{1}{2n}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) B_n \ , \quad \int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^{2n-1}}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi x}-1} = \frac{B_n}{2n} \ . \tag{9}$$

Usually the temperature can be regarded to be much lower than the Planck scale. For example, even the characteristic temperature in hot inflationary universe is much lower. Then it will be enough if we work in the low temperature limit, where $\beta \to \infty$. Then one gets

$$\tilde{E}_d^{b,f}(\beta; m) \to \frac{V_{d-1} m^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta m}}{2^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \beta^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty ds s^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-s} = \frac{V_{d-1} m^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta m}}{2\left(2\pi\beta\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \ . \tag{10}$$

The last expression has a maximum with respect to m when $m = \frac{d+1}{2\beta}$. The expression (10) vanishes in the limit $m \to \infty$. The expression (10) also vanishes when $m = 0$ but this is not exact since we take $\beta \to \infty$ limit first. The exact values when $m = 0$ are given in Eqs.(8) and they are positive.

We should sum up the energy with respect to the masses of the Kaluza-Klein modes, but due to the factor of $\mathrm{e}^{-\beta m}$ in (10), when β is large it is enough to include only the lowest mass.

Let us consider M-theory, whose low energy effective theory is 11d supergravity, in the spacetime $R_{10} \times S_1$ or $R_{10} \times (S_1/Z_2)$ (see corresponding discussion in [6]). The mass spectrum in the effective 10d theory is given by

$$m^2 = \frac{k^2}{R^2} + l^2 R^2 \lambda^4 \ . \tag{11}$$

Here k and l are integers, R is the radius of the sphere S_1, and λ^2 is the tension. The second term corresponds to the mode where the membrane with the shape of a tube winds in S_1 if 11d theory is membrane. Since the situation does not depend much on the spacetime dimension, one can consider string with one compactified dimension: S_1. The spectrum enjoys T-duality given by $R \to \frac{1}{\lambda^2 R}$. Therefore the lowest mass is

$$m = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{R} & R \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \lambda^2 R & R < \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{array} \right. \ . \tag{12}$$

Note that the lowest mass has maximum $m_{\max} = \lambda$ when $R = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ when the energies (10) are:

$$\tilde{E}_4^{b,f}(\beta; m) \sim \frac{V_{d-1}\lambda^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\lambda}}{2\left(2\pi\beta\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \ . \tag{13}$$

One can identify the energy in the supersymmetric case as in (6) and effective potential with respect to the compactification radius R;

$$V(R;\beta) = N \sum_{i=b,f} E_{10}^i\left(\beta; m(R)\right) = N \sum_{i=b,f} \tilde{E}_{10}^i\left(\beta; m(R)\right) \ . \tag{14}$$

Here N is number of bosonic degrees of freedom in supermultiplet. The potential has the local minima when $R = 0,\ \infty$ ($m = 0$) given by (8), or $R = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ($m = \lambda$) given by (13). Due to the factor $\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\lambda}$, the minimum at $R = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ is much lower than other two minima in the low energy temperature and becomes the global minimum. Therefore the thermal effects stabilize the radius of the compactification. Furtheremore by comparing (8) with (13), we find the possibility of the phase transition when $\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. In the high temperature phase the radius vanishes or becomes infinite, which is equivalent due to T-duality. Since we need to sum up all the Kaluza-Klein modes, which become massless in the limit of $R \rightarrow 0$ or ∞ and the quantum effects would be important when $\beta = \lambda$, we could not say any reliable things about the phase transition.

Recently the free energy of the M-theory in the spacetime $R_{10} \times S_1$ or $R_9 \times S_1 \times S_1$ was calculated in [3]. For $R_{10} \times S_1$, the obtained free energy is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(T)}{T} &= -\frac{945\zeta(11)}{32\pi^5 R_{11}^{10}} - \frac{24\zeta(10)}{\pi^5 R_0^{10}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\frac{R_0}{R_{11}}}\right) \ , \quad R_{11} \ll R_0 && (15) \\ \frac{F(T)}{T} &= -\frac{945\zeta(11)R_{11}}{32\pi^5 R_0^{11}} - \frac{24\zeta(10)}{\pi^5 R_0 R_{11}^9} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\frac{R_{11}}{R_{10}}}\right) \ , \quad R_{11} \gg R_0 \ . && (16) \end{aligned}$$

Here R_{11} is the radius of S_1, $R_0 = (2\pi T)^{-1}$ and $\zeta(x)$ is zeta function, especially $\zeta(10) = \frac{\pi^{10}}{93555}$. In [3], all the KK modes were summed up but the wrapping or winding mode has not been included. The eqs.(15) and (16) were estimated for single boson. Therefore the first leading term in (15) should be cancelled in the supersymmetric theory.

In our formulation, the low temperature approximation is applied. Only lowest massive modes are included in most of the regions, except the limit that all modes become massless, when $R \to 0$ or $R \to \infty$. In the limit $R \to 0$, the temperature dependent leading term of the supersymmetric free energy F_{R} in (15 has the following form:

$$F_{\mathrm{R}} = -\frac{24 \cdot 2^{10} \pi^5 \zeta(10) V_9}{\beta^{10}} + \begin{array}{l} \text{sub-leading} \\ \text{or } \beta\text{-independent terms} \end{array} . \tag{17}$$

Then the energy is given by

$$E_{\mathrm{R}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\beta F_{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \frac{9 \cdot 24 \cdot 2^{10} \pi^5 \zeta(10) V_9}{\beta^{10}} + \begin{array}{l} \text{sub-leading} \\ \text{or } \beta\text{-independent terms} \end{array} , \tag{18}$$

which corresponds to the bosonic case in Eq.(8) with $d = 10$. In Eq.(8), the contribution from only lowest massive mode was included but in (18), those from all massive modes were done. In spite of such a difference, the expression (18) is not so different from that in (8), that is, both of them are proportional to β^{-10} and the coefficients are positive. Therefore the analysis given in this paper is valid even for $m \sim 0$.

As an extension, we now consider the orbifold compactification of 11d AdS space, as in the Randall-Sundrum model [5]. The 11th dimension, here called y, is compactified on an orbifold S_1/Z_2 of radius R, with $-\pi R \leq y \leq \pi R$. The orbifold fixed points, $y = 0$ and $y = \pi R$, are the locations of two branes, and form the boundary of the 11-dimensional space-time. The 11-dimensional metric is g_{MN}, with the 11d coordinates labelled by capital letters, $M = (\mu, 11)$. The 10-dimensional Minkowski metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, \cdots, 1)$, with $\mu = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ 9$. The line element is

$$ds^2 = \mathrm{e}^{-2\sigma} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma = k|y|$. Here k is a parameter of the Planck scale order, related to the AdS radius of curvature. The latter is equal to $1/k$. The points (x^μ, y) and $(x^\mu, -y)$ are identified. Let us assume that there is a real scalar field $\phi(x^\mu, y)$ in the bulk, with a mass m_ϕ given by

$$m_\phi^2(y) = ak^2 + b\sigma''(y) \ , \tag{20}$$

with $\sigma''(y) = 2k\left[\delta(y) - \delta\sigma''(y)\right]$, $\sigma'(y) = k\epsilon(y) = k\frac{y}{|y|}$. Here a is an arbitrary non-dimensional parameter, defined as in [11]. The equation of motion for ϕ is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_M \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \partial_N \phi\right) - ak^2 \phi = 0 \ , \tag{21}$$

where $g = \det(g_{MN})$. Using the metric (19) one can write Eq.(21) as

$$\left[\mathrm{e}^{2\sigma}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu + \mathrm{e}^{10\sigma}\partial_y\left(\mathrm{e}^{-10\sigma}\partial_y\right) - ak^2\right]\phi(x^\mu, y) = 0 \ . \tag{22}$$

Expanding ϕ as

$$\phi(x^\mu, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi R}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\phi^{(n)}(x^\mu)f_n(y) \ , \tag{23}$$

we get field equations

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi^{(n)} = m_n^2\phi^{(n)} \ , \quad -\frac{d}{dy}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-4\sigma}\frac{df_n}{dy}\right) + ak^2\mathrm{e}^{-4\sigma}f_n = m_n^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\sigma}f_n \ , \tag{24}$$

in agreement with [11]. Here $f_n(y)$ are the Kaluza-Klein modes, and m_n is the mass of the Kaluz-Klein excitation $\phi^{(n)}$. The solution of Eq.(24) is [11]

$$f_n(y) = \mathrm{e}^{5\sigma}\left[J_\alpha\left(z_n\mathrm{e}^{\sigma}\right) + b_\alpha\left(m_n\right)Y_\alpha\left(z_n\mathrm{e}^{\sigma}\right)\right] \ , \tag{25}$$

with $z_n = \frac{m_n}{k}$. Here J_α, Y_α are the Bessel and Neumann functions, of order $\alpha = \sqrt{25 + a}$. Assume that $\alpha \geq 0$. If the scalar field ϕ is even under Z_2 transformation: $y \rightarrow -y$, we have $f_n(y) = f_n(|y|)$. Then by using (20), one finds $0 = \left.\left(\frac{df_n}{dy} - b\sigma' f_n\right)\right|_{y=0,\pi R}$ and we get the following two equations for determining b_α and m_α:

$$b_\alpha = \frac{(5-b)J_\alpha(z_n) + z_nJ'_\alpha(z_n)}{(5-b)Y_\alpha(z_n) + z_nY'_\alpha(z_n)} \ , \quad b_\alpha(z_n) = b_\alpha\left(z_n\mathrm{e}^{\pi kR}\right) \ . \tag{26}$$

The above equations determine masses of the Kaluza-Klein modes. We only considered the masses of the scalar field ϕ but if the supersymmetry is preserved, the masses of the KK mode of spinor, which is the superpartner of ϕ, should be identical with those of ϕ.

In order to investigate the qualitative structure of the roots in Eqs.(26), one defines a new variable x by $x \equiv z_n\mathrm{e}^{\pi kR}$ and rewrite Eqs. (26) in the following form:

$$\frac{(5-b)J_\alpha(x) + xJ'_\alpha(x)}{(5-b)Y_\alpha(x) + xY'_\alpha(x)} = \frac{(5-b)J_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}\right) + x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}J'_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}\right)}{(5-b)Y_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}\right) + x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}Y'_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}\right)} \ . \tag{27}$$

Note that there is a trivial solution $x = 0$ in (27) but since the solution corresponds to the massless mode, we do not consider it here. If we fix x and take the limit of $R \to \infty$, the r.h.s. vanishes and in the limit

$$0 = (5 - b) J_\alpha(x) + x J'_\alpha(x) \ . \tag{28}$$

The lowest root x of (28) is given by $x = 0$, which corresponds to the massless mode when R is finite and is neglected here. Other nontrivial roots ($x \neq 0$) are finite and do not depend on R. Since the corresponding mass is given by

$$m_n = k z_n = k x \mathrm{e}^{-\pi k R} \ , \tag{29}$$

the non-trivial lowest mass vanishes in the limit, which corresponds to the local minimum in the potential (8).

In order to investigate the behavior of Eq.(27) in the limit of $R \to 0$, one rewrites (27) in the following form:

$$0 = \frac{\frac{j_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi k R}\right) - j_\alpha(x)}{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi k R} - 1} y_\alpha(x) - j_\alpha(x) \frac{y_\alpha\left(x\mathrm{e}^{-\pi k R}\right) - y_\alpha(x)}{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi k R} - 1}}{y_\alpha(x)^2} \ . \tag{30}$$

Here

$$j_\alpha(x) \equiv (5 - b) J_\alpha(x) + x J'_\alpha(x) \ , \quad y_\alpha(x) \equiv (5 - b) Y_\alpha(x) + x Y'_\alpha(x) \ . \tag{31}$$

Then in the limit of $R \to 0$, Eq.(30) becomes

$$0 = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{j_\alpha(x)}{y_\alpha(x)} \right) \ . \tag{32}$$

Again the non-trivial lowest roots are finite and independent of R. Eq.(29) tells the mass is the order of the Planck mass since x is of order unity. Then the mass corresponds to the global minimum of the effective potential at low temperature.

The analysis in the limits $R \to \infty$ and $R \to 0$ suggests that the thermal effects would make the compactification radius to be small. If we include, however, the winding modes as in (11), the corresponding masses vanish in the limit of $R \to 0$, which corresponds to the local minimum of the effective potential. This might suggest that there is a non-trivial maximum in the lowest mass as in flat spacetime in (12). If kR is equal or less than unity and

k has the order of the Planck mass, the maximum value of the lowest mass has the order of the Planck mass. Therefore the thermal effects might stabilize the compactification radius R. In other words, the same thermal mechanism of radion stabilization which has been suggested in ref.[7] works (for other mechanisms, see [12]). It is quite natural as it is fulfilled by quantum effects of fields from supermultiplet. There is no need to add extra fields.

Let us consider non-supersymmetric case. If supersymmetry is broken, zero-temperature contributions from bosons and fermions are not cancelled with each other. Such zero temperature contributionse in $d = 4$ thermal brane (AdS_5) have been evaluated in [13] (for related discussion of bulk Casimir effect in brane-worlds see refs.[14, 7]). We now evaluate vacuum energy for $d = 10$ (AdS_{11}) case in a similar way. For zero temperature, (4) and (5) have the following forms:

$$E_d^b(\infty;m) = -E_d^f(\infty;m) = \frac{V_{d-1}}{2}\int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\sqrt{p^2+m^2} = -\frac{V_{d-1}}{2(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{d}{2}\right)m^d\ . \tag{33}$$

Suming up the contributions with respect to $m = kx\mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}$ in (29) which satisfy (27) and using formula $A_s(\tilde{a}) \equiv \sum_n x_n^{-s}$ from [13][5] one gets:

$$A_s(\tilde{a}) = \frac{s}{\pi}\sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{-s-1}\ln\left[\frac{2\mathrm{e}^{-t(1-\tilde{a})}}{t\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}\left\{k_\nu(t)i_\nu(\tilde{a}t) - k_\nu(\tilde{a}t)i_\nu(t)\right\}\right] \tag{34}$$

Here $\tilde{a} = \mathrm{e}^{-\pi kR}$ and the Bessel functions of imaginary argument are

$$i_\nu(z) = (5-b)I_\alpha(z) + zI'_\alpha(z)\ , \quad k_\nu(z) = (5-b)K_\alpha(z) + zK'_\alpha(z)\ , \tag{35}$$

which correspond to (31). If we choose $s = -d = -10 + \epsilon$ when $\epsilon \sim 0$, $A_s(a)$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_s(\tilde{a}) = 5\epsilon\Bigg\{&\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{9-\epsilon}\ln\left[1 - \frac{k_\alpha(t)i_\alpha(\tilde{a}t)}{k_\alpha(\tilde{a}t)i_\alpha(t)}\right] \\ &+\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{9-\epsilon}\ln\left[\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}}\mathrm{e}^{-t}i_\alpha(t)\right] + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{10-\epsilon}}\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{9-\epsilon}\ln\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}}\mathrm{e}^{t}k_\alpha(t)\right]\Bigg\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

---

[5] It is convenient to use zeta-regularization in such calculation, see [10] for an introduction.

As in [13], the second term is cancelled by a local counterterm and the third term is absorbed into the renormalization of the Planck brane tension. Only the first term, which is finite, gives a non-trivial contribution to the effective potential. When a is small

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty dt\, t^9 \ln\left[1-\frac{k_\alpha(t)i_\alpha(\tilde{a}t)}{k_\alpha(\tilde{a}t)i_\alpha(t)}\right] \\
\sim\quad & \tfrac{2}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)^2}\tfrac{5-b+\alpha}{5-b-\alpha}\left(\tfrac{\tilde{a}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha}\textstyle\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{9+2\alpha}\tfrac{k_\alpha(t)}{i_\alpha(t)} \qquad \text{for } 5-b\neq\pm\alpha \qquad (37)\\
\sim\quad & \tfrac{2}{\alpha(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)^2}\tfrac{7-b+\alpha}{5-b-\alpha}\left(\tfrac{\tilde{a}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+2}\textstyle\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{11+2\alpha}\tfrac{k_\alpha(t)}{i_\alpha(t)} \qquad \text{for } 5-b=-\alpha \qquad (38)\\
\sim\quad & \tfrac{2(-\alpha+1)}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)^2}\tfrac{5-b+\alpha}{7-b-\alpha}\left(\tfrac{\tilde{a}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+2}\textstyle\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{11+2\alpha}\tfrac{k_\alpha(t)}{i_\alpha(t)} \qquad \text{for } 5-b=\alpha\ . \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

As an example, we consider the case that $b=5$ and $\alpha>0$. Then combining (33), (36) and (37), one gets bosonic (fermionic) potential $V_0^b(\tilde{a})$ and $V_0^f(\tilde{a})$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0^b(\tilde{a}) &= -V_0^b(\tilde{a}) = -V_9 k^{10} B_\alpha^{(0)}\tilde{a}^{10+2\alpha} \\
B_\alpha^{(0)} &\equiv -\frac{2}{4!(2\pi)^5 2^{2\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)^2}\int t^{9+2\alpha}\frac{K'_\alpha(t)}{I'_\alpha(t)} > 0\ . \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Including the leading low-temperature term which corresponds to (10) one arrives to

$$V^{b,f}(\tilde{a}) = V_9\left[\mp k^{10}B_\alpha^{(0)}\tilde{a}^{10+2\alpha} + \frac{(kxa)^5 \mathrm{e}^{-\beta kxa}}{2\,(2\pi\beta)^{\frac{9}{2}}}\right]\ . \qquad (41)$$

Here sign $-$ $(+)$ corresponds to boson (fermion). As we know that the R or x dependence is small from the analysis in (27–32), we can regard x as a constant of order unity. Then the second term in (41) has a maximum of order β^{-10} when kax has order of β^{-1}. For kax of order of β^{-1}, the first term in (41) is about of $\beta^{-10}(\beta k)^{-2\alpha}$. Then for the fermionic case, the potential $V^f(\tilde{a})$ (41) has a nontrivial ($\tilde{a}>0$) minimum. If the value of the potential at the minimum is smaller than the value at $\tilde{a}=0$, which is given in the femionic case (8), the non-trivial minimum becomes a true minimum. Therefore the thermal effects can stabilize the compactification radius R. The value $a_{\min}$ of a at the minimum would be same order as the value of a at the maximum of the second term in (41), then the order should be $\frac{1}{k\beta}$. Taking the temperature as the order of the weak scale $\sim 10^2$ GeV and assuming k is about of the

Planck scale $\sim 10^{19}$ GeV, one finds $a_{\min} \sim 10^{-17}$. This example proves that quantum bulk effects in a brane-world AdS$_{11}$ at nonzero temperature may not only stabilize 10d brane-world (quantum spontaneous compactification occurs) but also provide the dynamical mechanism [7] for the resolution of the hierarchy problem (with no fine-tuning) as in the Randall-Sundrum model [5]. It is expected that similar effect occurs for complete effective field theory (11d SG) following from M-theory. It would be extremely interesting to extend this consideration for M(Membrane)-theory, taking account of non-linear effects in order to get the corresponding Hagedorn temperature on curved 11d background.

Acknoweledgements. The work of S.D.O. was supported in part by CONACyT (CP, ref.9903056 and grant 28454E), by RFFI Grant N.99-02-16617 and by GCFS Grant E00-3.3-461. The work of S.O. was supported in part by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

[1] R. Hagedorn, Nuovo Cim. Suppl. **3**, 147 (1965); I. Kogan, JETP Lett. **45**, 709 (1987); B. Sathiapalan, Phys. Rev. **D35**, 3277 (1987); J. Atick and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B310**, 291 (1988); for a review, see S.D. Odintsov, Rivista Nuovo Cim. **15**, 1 (1992).

[2] A. Bytsenko and S.D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B243**, 63 (1990); **B245**, 21 (1990).

[3] J.G. Russo, hep-th/0101132.

[4] M.C.B. Abdalla, A. Bytsenko and B.M. Pimentel, in preparation.

[5] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999), hep-th/9905221; Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999), hep-th/9906064.

[6] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 506 (1996); K. Kashima, hep-th/0010286.

[7] I. Brevik, K.A. Milton, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/0010205, to appear in Nucl. Phys. **B**.

[8] M.B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, Phys.Lett. **409**, 177 (1997).

[9] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl.Phys. **B508**, 245 (1997).

[10] E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A. Bytsenko, and S. Zerbini, *Zeta-Regularization Technique with Applications*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).

[11] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, hep-th/0003129, Nucl. Phys.**B586**, 141 (2000).

[12] W. Goldberger and M. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4942 (1999); S. Rama, hep-th/0010121.

[13] W.D. Goldberger and I.Z. Rothstein, hep-th/0007065.

[14] J. Garriga, O. Pujolas, and T. Tanaka, hep-th/0004109; S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 4855 (2000), hep-th/0006115; R. Hoffmann, P. Kanti and M. Pospelov, hep-ph/0012213; P.B. Gilkey, K. Kirsten and D.V. Vassilevich, hep-th/0101105.